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The economic benefits of reducing nitrogen dioxide in Newcastle

Summary

CBI Economics analysis quantifies the potential gains to the health of Newcastle’s workforce, and to the local economy, that could be achieved through a reduction in nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) levels via the proposed Clean Air Zone (CAZ). Just a small 5 µg/m³ (17%) reduction in NO₂ could prevent up to almost 20 deaths and save almost 60 days spent in Newcastle’s hospitals due to respiratory conditions each year.²

Moreover, adding over 54,000 working hours each year through increased workforce participation could provide an economic boost of more than £0.6m in Gross Value Added (GVA).³

Figure 1 Economic and health benefits associated with reducing NO₂ in Newcastle

Source: CBI Economics analysis
Air quality matters to the health of our citizens and ultimately to our economy

Air pollution impacts human health and the productivity of the UK workforce, which in turn impacts the economy. Analysis conducted by CBI Economics in 2020 estimated that clean air in line with the World Health Organisation’s (WHO) guidelines could deliver a £1.6bn boost to the UK economy each year.4

Evidence shows a key link between NO2 and health outcomes. Reducing NO2 therefore has a key role to play in realising this economic potential. NO2 exposure leads to both short-term and long-term health impacts, exacerbating respiratory conditions such as asthma, possibly increasing the likelihood of lung cancer, stroke, and cardiovascular disease, and has been linked to adverse birth outcomes.5 This comes at a cost to the healthcare system. Public Health England estimates that between 2017 and 2025 the total cost to the NHS and social care system due to NO2 alone will reach £61m.6,7

The role of Clean Air Zones

Vehicles are the largest contributor to NO2 pollution at roadsides, contributing 80% of the total. This means higher levels of NO2 are typically focused in high traffic areas within city centres. Targeted local action, in addition to a national strategy, is therefore a key part of the government’s solution to tackling NO2 levels in the UK.8

While the government has considered a range of policy options, the evidence concludes that CAZs are the most effective measure to reduce NO2 levels within the legal limits in the shortest possible time.9 As a result, the government has mandated several cities to implement CAZs, including Newcastle.

Nitrogen dioxide levels in Newcastle

The UK has statutory limit values for the annual and 1-hour mean concentrations of NO2, set at 40 µg/m3 and 200 µg/m3 respectively.10 Analysis by Ricardo Energy and Environment (Ricardo) finds that while on average, NO2 concentrations in Newcastle comply with the annual limit value, the maximum annual concentration across locations in Newcastle in 2019 exceeded this by 12 µg/m3 (17%). This exceedance is driven predominantly by eight locations, increasing to 17 locations when including those just below the limit value.11 The government estimates that air quality on a section of the A167 Tyne Bridge and Central Motorway and part of the A1058 Coast Road will remain above the limit values by 2021 without action.12
Table 1 Statutory limit values and compliance assessment for NO₂ in Newcastle, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollution measure</th>
<th>UK statutory limit value</th>
<th>Average NO₂</th>
<th>Maximum NO₂</th>
<th>Locations &gt;40.4 µg/m³</th>
<th>Locations &gt;36 µg/m³</th>
<th>Compliance assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO₂ annual mean</td>
<td>40 µg/m³</td>
<td>29 µg/m³</td>
<td>52 µg/m³</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Non-compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂ 1-hour mean</td>
<td>200 µg/m³</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>124 µg/m³</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Analysis by Ricardo

Newcastle’s proposed Clean Air Zone

As one of several UK cities tasked with reducing NO₂ concentrations, Newcastle City Council has investigated the feasibility of introducing a CAZ B, C and D to the city and subsequently announced the introduction of a CAZ C in 2021.¹⁵

Figure 2 Boundary of Newcastle’s proposed Clean Air Zone

Source: CBI Economics analysis
Evidence from feasibility studies conducted across a range of cities suggests that introducing a CAZ D, which covers a broader range of vehicles than a CAZ C could reduce NO₂ concentrations by 5 µg/m³. This means Newcastle would achieve compliance with national limits up to four years earlier than expected through the introduction of a CAZ D.\textsuperscript{16,17}

However, Newcastle’s feasibility study estimates a slightly lower average reduction in NO₂ at 3.1 µg/m³, and the latest announcement is the introduction of a CAZ C rather than a CAZ D. Despite this, to ensure consistency in approach between Newcastle and the seven other cities analysed for this study, the slightly higher value of 5 µg/m³ has been applied. Therefore, this analysis is based on the estimated reduction in NO₂ associated with a CAZ D.\textsuperscript{18,19}

\textbf{CBI Economics analysis estimates that lower NO₂ levels could prevent at least 4% of Newcastle’s deaths associated with respiratory conditions each year}

Newcastle has a young and thriving economy. Over two thirds (68%) of Newcastle’s population are of working age compared to an average of 62% in England and Wales.\textsuperscript{20} Of these, 67% are currently in employment, and therefore improving the physical wellbeing of Newcastle’s workers could result in a significant gain to the local economy.\textsuperscript{21}

Evidence shows the impacts of poor air quality fall disproportionately on the elderly, who are more likely to be outside the working population. In Newcastle 90% of deaths associated with respiratory diseases fall within the over-65 age bracket.\textsuperscript{22} The remaining 10% of deaths are among working-age residents with long-term conditions, 55% of which are economically inactive or unemployed.\textsuperscript{23}

In Newcastle, 14% of all deaths and 5% of hospital admissions in 2019 were due to respiratory conditions.\textsuperscript{24} A further 22% of deaths in 2019 were due to circulatory and heart conditions, and 8% due to malignant cancers, all of which are to an extent attributable to air pollution.\textsuperscript{25} Reducing NO₂ levels could therefore prevent some premature deaths and reduce hospital admissions.

CBI Economics analysis finds that a 5 µg/m³ reduction in NO₂ in Newcastle could, at a minimum, prevent between seven and fifteen deaths each year, and save almost 60 days spent in hospital due solely to NO₂ exposure.\textsuperscript{26} This represents around 1% of all Newcastle’s deaths and 4% of deaths associated with respiratory conditions.\textsuperscript{27}
The final health benefits of reducing NO₂ are likely to be far greater

As it was not possible to quantify all impact channels, the resulting health benefit is expected to go far beyond this. The reasons for additional health benefits are due to the following:

- **A larger share of the UK’s population will be exposed to cleaner air than just those living in Newcastle:** Many of the city’s residents work within Newcastle city centre; however, this analysis does not capture those individuals who enter the area for work, study or leisure. Around 98,000 (59%) of workers who live outside of Newcastle commute into the city for work. In addition, the city can see on average 14m visitors in a given year from outside of Newcastle, 91% of which are UK day visitors. A much larger group of the UK’s population would therefore be exposed to cleaner air than the analysis is able to capture.

- **Improving air quality will reduce a host of primary health conditions associated with air pollution:** The main conditions associated with air pollution are respiratory conditions, cardiovascular disease, and lung cancer, but there is emerging evidence of associations with low birth weight and Type 2 diabetes. These conditions may be more closely linked with other pollutants, such as PM₂.₅, but NO₂ exposure is likely to play a role within a wider mix of air pollutants. As this analysis is only focused on respiratory conditions, it underestimates the overall impact of lower NO₂ on all health outcomes related to air pollution.

- **Improving air quality will reduce health conditions where air pollution is a secondary factor:** Exposure to air pollution can also suppress lung function growth in children, and in adulthood it can accelerate the decline in lung function with age. This increases the risk of death from other primary conditions. For example, COVID-19 patients that already suffer from long-term respiratory conditions are at greater risk of death. As a result, improvements in air quality will have knock-on impacts on other health outcomes in addition to those direct impacts captured by the analysis.

- **Reducing emissions from vehicles is expected to lead to a reduction in other pollutants:** Evidence suggests NO₂ is emitted with other pollutants, especially PM₂.₅, which makes it difficult to determine NO₂ as the attributable pollutant to health outcomes. In addition, road transport has been linked to other pollutants including PM₂.₅, PM₁₀, and ground level ozone, which means CAZs could lead to a reduction in other pollutants. These reductions could therefore provide further health benefits that are not captured by this analysis, and with PM the largest determinant of health outcomes due to air pollution, this omission could be significant.
CBI Economics analysis estimates that bringing NO\textsubscript{2} within legal limits will add £0.6m to Newcastle’s economy each year through increased workforce participation

Despite a disproportionate impact of air pollution on non-working residents, there is still expected to be a large impact on the working population. Analysis by CBI Economics shows that a healthier workforce in Newcastle could result in an additional 25,000 to 54,000 hours worked.\textsuperscript{40}

Assuming full employment, meaning that these extra hours can be put to immediate use, this could increase the total production in the economy by an additional £0.6m in GVA from preventing these health outcomes.

The final economic benefit to Newcastle is likely to be much larger

The resulting economic benefit is expected to go beyond £0.6m GVA not only because the resulting health impacts are expected to be larger than it is possible to quantify, but also for the following reasons:

- **The resulting days lost from work in the event of a hospital admission will be higher than just the days spent in hospital:** An individual will likely have been suffering prior to hospital admission and will also likely require time to recover, increasing the days lost from work above just the time spent in hospital. However, this analysis only quantifies the impact of a reduction in NO\textsubscript{2} on the time spent in hospital due to availability of academic evidence.\textsuperscript{41}

- **The value of unpaid work not carried out as a result of conditions related to NO\textsubscript{2} emissions cannot be estimated:** Activities taken outside of formal employment are estimated to be a significant contributor towards the UK economy: the ONS estimated unpaid work at 60% of GDP in 2016.\textsuperscript{42} For example, activities such as volunteering and unpaid social care also add value to the local economy but are not captured in this analysis. As a result, cost benefit analyses on air pollution often seek to include this as an impact pathway for quantification.\textsuperscript{43} However, it was not possible to quantify this as part of this analysis due to an absence of academic evidence solely for NO\textsubscript{2}.

This analysis evidences the potential gains to Newcastle’s local economy and to the health of the work force by reducing NO\textsubscript{2} levels. With just a small 5 µg/m\textsuperscript{3} reduction in NO\textsubscript{2} estimated to provide at least an additional £0.6m in GVA and prevent almost 20 deaths, it is clear that lower NO\textsubscript{2} levels can support a healthier and more prosperous city.
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